ORDER SHEET West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag &
The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No. **OA-129 of 2019**

Md	. Nurul Islam Versus The State of We	est Bengal & Ors.
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
1	2	3
02 28/03/2019	For the Applicant: Mr. N. Majhi, Ld. Advocate.	
	For the State Respondent: Mr. M.N. Roy, Ld. Advocate.	
	The applicant has prayed for direction upon the	
	respondents for payment of arrears of salary during	
	the period from September 26, 1999 to December 17,	
	2003 and for disbursement of retiral benefit including	
	Provident Fund and Gratuity of the applicant.	
	Learned Counsel for the applicant has not	
	pressed for prayer 'a' of the original application by	
	which the applicant prayed for arrears of salary	
	during the period from September 26, 1999 to	
	December 17, 2003, and as such the said prayer	
	stands rejected.	
	The only issue for consideration of the Tribunal	
	is whether the applicant is entitled to get retiral	
	benefit including Provident Fund and Gratuity. The	
	applicant retired from service as Assistant Sub-	
	Inspector of Police from the establishment of the	
	respondent no. 4. Admittedly, one criminal case being	
	Narkeldanga Police Station case No. 56 u/s 120B/409	
	IPC was started against the applicant and the said	

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Г	7. 1	-
Form	IN	O.

Md.	Nurul Islam

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-129 of 2019			
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date	
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of	
1	2	parties when necessary.	
1	criminal case is still pending for adjudication before	<u> </u>	
	the competent Court of Law. The departmental		
	proceeding was also started against the applicant on		
	the allegation of entering into criminal conspiracy for		
	commission of breach of trust in respect of liquid cash		
	of Rs. 3,18,000/- while the applicant was in charge of		
	Malkhana of Narkeldanga Police Station. The		
	applicant was dismissed from service by the		
	disciplinary authority on conclusion of departmental		
	enquiry. The said order of dismissal was set aside by		
	this Tribunal by Judgement and order dated		
	September 10, 2003 passed in OA-8273 of 1999.		
	However, the Tribunal directed the disciplinary		
	authority to impose lesser punishment on the		
	applicant within specific period of time from the date		
	of communication of the order of the Tribunal.		
	Accordingly, the applicant was reinstated in service by		
	treating the period of absence from duty from		
	September 26, 1999 to December 17, 2003 as break-		
	in service and his pay was refixed at minimum of the		
	pay-scale after reinstatement in service. Again, the		
	applicant challenged the said modified order of		
	punishment before the Tribunal by filing OA-1583 of		
	2004 which was disposed of on December 18, 2009 by		
	modification of punishment of "break-in service" as		
	punishment of "dies non", but the remaining portion		

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

_	- T
Lorm	NIA
rorm	INO.

Md.	Nurul Islam	

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. **OA-129 of 2019**

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of
		parties when necessary.
1	2	3
	of punishment remained intact.	

With the above factual matrix, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant retired from service on February 28, 2018 and he is entitled to get the balance amount of General Provident Fund, Gratuity and Interim Allowance. On the other hand,

Learned Counsel representing the state respondents submits that the state respondents are not in a position to grant interim allowance to the applicant as the pension sanctioning authority will not be able to

make entries of interim allowance in the Service Book which has already been seized in connection with the

criminal case pending for adjudication before the competent Court of Law.

In view of the pendency of the criminal case against the applicant till date, the applicant is not entitled to get the amount of Gratuity which can be decided only after final adjudication of the criminal case by the competent Court of Law. There is nothing on record to indicate that the applicant submitted application in the prescribed format for disbursement of the amount of General Provident Fund before the authority concerned and such the as state respondents cannot be held liable for not disbursing

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

_	- -	
Form	No.	
Form	INU.	

Md. Nurul Islam

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-1 2	29 of 2019	
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of
1	2	parties when necessary.
	the amount of General Provident Fund to the	
	applicant. Since the criminal case is still pending	
	against the applicant, the applicant is not entitled to	
	get pension until final adjudication of the criminal	
	case as laid down in Rule 14 of the West Bengal	
	Services (Death-cum-retirement benefit) Rules, 1971	
	(in short, the DCRB Rules of 1971). However, the	
	applicant is entitled to get interim allowance not	
	exceeding two third of the pension that would be	
	admissible, but for the pendency of the criminal	
	proceeding, may be granted during the pendency of	
	the criminal case only if the applicant is facing	
	hardship after retirement in terms of Rule 14 of the	
	D.C.R.B. Rules of 1971.	
	In view of our above findings, the applicant is	
	directed to submit application in the prescribed	
	format and to furnish all particulars for disbursement	
	of the amount of G.P.F. before the respondent no. 4	
	within a period of 04 (four) weeks from this date. The	
	applicant is further directed to submit appropriate	

application praying for interim allowance during

pendency of the criminal proceeding against him

before the respondent no. 4 within a period of 04

(four) weeks from this date. The respondent no. 4, the

Deputy Commissioner of Police, Port Division, Kolkata

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)			
Form No.	Md.	Nurul Islam	
		Vs	
	•••••	est Bengal & Others.	
Case No. OA-12			
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of	
date of order	with signature	parties when necessary.	
1	2	3	
	is directed to disburse the amount of G.P.F. in favour		
	of the applicant within a period of 08 (eight) weeks		
	from the date of submission of the application in the		
	prescribed format by the applicant. The respondent		
	no. 4, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Port Division,		
	Kolkata is further directed to grant interim allowance		
	to the applicant during pendency of the criminal case		
	against him in terms of Rule 14 of the D.C.R.B. Rules		
	of 1971 within a period of 12 (twelve) weeks from the		
	date of submission of the application by the applicant.		
	With the above direction, the original application		
	stands disposed of.		
	Let a Plain Copy of the order be supplied to both		
	parties.		
	S. K. DAS R. K. BAG MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		

Csm